

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 M. DOE et al.,

No. C 04-02672 CRB

12 Plaintiffs,

ORDER

13 v.

14 ALAMEDA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
15 et al.,

16 Defendants.
17 _____/

18 On November 18, 2005, the Court held oral argument on some of the defendants'
19 motion for summary judgment. In open court, the Court granted plaintiffs' counsel leave to
20 conduct further discovery pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(f) to determine
21 precisely what kind of authority the director of the Center possessed during the relevant time
22 period of this lawsuit. Plaintiffs may submit a supplemental brief on this issue on or before
23 December 23, 2005. Defendants may file a reply to that brief on or before January 6, 2006.
24 The Court will advise the parties if further oral argument is necessary.

25 //

26 //


27 //

28 //

The January 9, 2006 trial date is hereby vacated. The Court will schedule a case management conference to set a new trial date after its ruling on this motion, if necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 21, 2005



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California